

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,553	11/30/2004	Tadaaki Yabubayashi	09853/0202140-US0	7061
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 08/15/200 ARBY P.C	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			POHNERT, STEVEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

(1) Steven C. Pohnert

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/516,553
 YABUBAYASHI ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Steven C. Pohnert
 1634

(3) John Mortimer

All participants	(applicant,	applicant's representative	PTO personnel):
------------------	-------------	----------------------------	-----------------

· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
(2) <u>Mark Polyakov</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>06 August 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: pending.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Gold and Blackburn</u> .						
$\label{eq:continuous} Agreement with respect to the claims f)$$\square$ was reached. $$g$$ was not reached. $$h$$$\square$ N/A.$						

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative's and the examiner discussed the art rejections of record.</u> The examiner suggested narrowing the claims to overcome the art. No agreements were reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Steven C Pohnert/ Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office